

EXPANDING SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC MODELS WITHOUT SHEAR

S. D. Maharaj¹, P. G. L. Leach^{1†*} and R. Maartens^{2*}

¹Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Natal, Private Bag X10, Dalbridge 4014, South Africa

²School of Mathematical Studies, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 2EG, England

[†]Centre for Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, University of Natal, Durban

*Member of Centre for Nonlinear Studies, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg

Abstract

The integrability properties of the field equation $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$ of a spherically symmetric shear-free fluid are investigated. A first integral, subject to an integrability condition on $F(x)$, is found, giving a new class of solutions which contains the solutions of Stephani (1983) and Srivastava (1987) as special cases. The integrability condition on $F(x)$ is reduced to a quadrature which is expressible in terms of elliptic integrals in general. There are three classes of solution and in general the solution of $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$ can only be written in parametric form. The case for which $F = F(x)$ can be explicitly given corresponds to the solution of Stephani (1983). A Lie analysis of $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$ is also performed. If a constant α vanishes, then the solutions of Kustaanheimo and Qvist (1948) and of this paper are regained. For $\alpha \neq 0$ we reduce the problem to a simpler, autonomous equation. The applicability of the Painlevé analysis is also briefly considered.

1. Introduction

Spherical symmetry is often assumed when seeking exact solutions to the Einstein field equations for a shear-free matter distribution. Spherically symmetric solutions may be used as isotropic cosmological models without the further restriction of homogeneity, or to model the interior of expanding or contracting spherical stars (Santos 1985, de Oliveira *et al* 1985). The shear-free condition substantially simplifies the field equations and not surprisingly most of the exact solutions known are not shearing (see Kramer *et al* 1980, Krasinski 1989).

For a spherically symmetric, shear-free perfect fluid the field equations reduce essentially to two nonlinear partial differential equations. Various approaches have been followed in seeking solutions to these equations. McVittie (1933, 1967, 1984) assumed a functional form of the metric coefficients which enabled him to solve the field equations to give a wide class of solutions. The solutions found by Kustaanheimo and Qvist (1948), Chakravarty *et al* (1976), Wyman (1976) and Stephani (1983) depend on a suitable choice of a function of integration. A more recent approach by Herrera and Ponce de Leon (1985), Dyer *et al* (1987), Sussman (1989), Maartens and Maharaj (1990) and Maharaj *et al* (1991) is to suppose that the spacetime is invariant under a conformal Killing vector, which leads to classes of solutions.

One of the field equations for a spherically symmetric shear-free fluid can be reduced to the partial differential equation $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$, where $F(x)$ is an arbitrary function resulting from an integration process. The purpose of this paper is to investigate integrability properties of this equation. In section 2 we give the field equations for a shear-free matter distribution. A condition on the function of integration $F(x)$ is found in section 3 that yields a first integral of $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$. We express this condition as a third order ordinary differential equation which in general has solutions in terms of elliptic integrals. The first integral found does not contain the solution of Kustaanheimo and Qvist (1948), but does contain those of Stephani (1983) and Srivastava (1987) as special cases. This represents a new class (as far as we are aware) of solutions, generalising those of Stephani. In section 4 we perform a Lie analysis on this equation. If a constant α vanishes, then the solution is reduced to a quadrature. One class of the $\alpha = 0$ solutions is just the Kustaanheimo and Qvist solution. The other class is that found in section 3, thus giving a basis for the success of the *ad hoc* method used in section 3. For the case $\alpha \neq 0$ we reduce the problem to a simpler, autonomous equation. In section 5 we consider the possibility of using the Painlevé analysis and discuss results obtained for $F(x) = x^n$. One consequence of the results is to situate the known solutions and understand what is special about them.

2. Field equations

For a spherically symmetric, shear-free, perfect fluid we can introduce a comoving and isotropic coordinate system $x^i = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$ such that the metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\nu(t,r)} dt^2 + e^{2\lambda(t,r)} \left[dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Under these conditions the Einstein field equations reduce to (Kramer *et al* 1980)

$$e^\nu = \lambda_t e^{-f(t)} \quad (2)$$

$$e^\lambda (\lambda_{rr} - \lambda_r^2 - \lambda_r/r) = -\tilde{F}(r), \quad (3)$$

where $f(t)$ and $\tilde{F}(r)$ are arbitrary functions of integration. The energy density μ and pressure p then assume the form

$$\mu = 3e^{2f} - e^{-2\lambda} (2\lambda_{rr} + \lambda_r^2 + 4\lambda/r) \quad (4)$$

$$p = \lambda_t^{-1} e^{-3\lambda} \partial_t [e^\lambda (\lambda_r^2 + 2\lambda_r/r) - e^{3\lambda+2f}]. \quad (5)$$

To find an exact solution of the field equations (2)–(3) the functions $f(t)$ and $\tilde{F}(r)$ need to be specified and equation (3) has to be solved for λ . Then the dynamical quantities μ and p can be computed from (4) and (5).

The transformation (Kustaanheimo and Qvist 1948)

$$x = r^2, \quad L(t, x) = e^{-\lambda}, \quad \tilde{F}(x) = F/4r^2$$

reduces equation (3) to

$$L_{xx} = F(x)L^2. \quad (6)$$

We will be concerned with this form of the field equation in subsequent sections. In spite of the tremendous interest generated by spherically symmetric shear-free perfect fluids the solution of the field equations in complete generality is known only for (Kustaanheimo and Qvist 1948)

$$F(x) = (ax^2 + bx + c)^{-5/2} \quad (7a)$$

and (Stephani 1983)

$$F(x) = x^{-15/7} \quad \text{or} \quad x^{-20/7}. \quad (7b)$$

Incidentally subclasses of the McVittie (1984) class of metrics also correspond to these forms of $F(x)$. We extend this to a new class of solutions in section 3.

As pointed out in Kramer *et al* (1980), the invariance of (6) under coordinate transformations that preserve the form of (1), implies that F transforms as

$$F(x) \rightarrow \tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}^{-5} F(\tilde{x}^{-1}) \quad (8a)$$

under

$$x \rightarrow \tilde{x} = x^{-1}, \quad L \rightarrow \tilde{L} = x^{-1} L \quad (8b)$$

(Note the erroneous $\tilde{x}^5$, instead of $\tilde{x}^{-5}$, given on p 168 of Kramer *et al*.) This follows since

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^2} - F(x)L^2 = \tilde{x}^3 \left[\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{L}}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} - \tilde{x}^{-5} F(x) \tilde{L}^2 \right].$$

Thus a solution for a given $F(x)$ is equivalent to a solution with $F(x)$ replaced by $x^{-5}F(x^{-1})$. Note that (7a) is form invariant under (8), while the pair in (7b) are equivalent.

Note also that the solutions of (6) with $F = (ax + b)^n$ are trivially related to the solutions with $F = x^n$. However, the two types of solution are not equivalent, since the metric is not invariant under $x \rightarrow ax + b$ (Stephani 1983, Srivastava 1987).

3. A first integral of $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$

Here we extend the technique of Srivastava (1987) to obtain a first integral of (6) without choosing an explicit functional form for $F(x)$. The first integral found is subject to an integral equation in $F(x)$ which can also be expressed as a nonlinear third order ordinary differential equation.

A number of choices of $F(x)$ is known that reduces the order of the field equation (6) (Srivastava 1987, Stephani 1983). Rather than choose $F(x)$ we seek a general condition on $F(x)$ that reduces (6) to a first order differential equation. The integral of $F(x)L^2$ can be expressed as

$$\int F(x)L^2 dx = F_I L^2 - 2 \int F_I L L_x dx, \quad (9)$$

where for convenience we have used the notation

$$F_I = \int F(x) dx.$$

Integrating $F_I L L_x$ by parts and using (6) gives the result

$$\int F_I L L_x dx = F_{II} L L_x - \int F_{II} L_x^2 dx - \int F F_{II} L^3 dx$$

On further evaluating the integrals on the right hand side of this equation and substituting in (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int F(x)L^2 dx &= F_I L^2 - 2F_{II} L L_x + 2F_{III} L_x^2 + 2(F F_{II})_I L^3 \\ &\quad - 2 \left[\int [2F F_{III} + 3(F F_{II})_I] L^2 L_x dx \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We observe that the integral on the right hand side of (10) can be evaluated if $2F F_{III} + 3(F F_{II})_I$ is a constant. Then equations (6) and (10) yield the result

$$\psi_0(t) = -L_x + F_I L^2 - 2F_{II} L L_x + 2F_{III} L_x^2 + 2 \left[(F F_{II})_I - \frac{1}{3} K_0 \right] L^3 \quad (11)$$

when we impose the condition

$$2F F_{III} + 3(F F_{II})_I = K_0, \quad (12)$$

where $\psi_0(t)$ is an arbitrary function of integration and K_0 is a constant. Thus we have established that (11) is a first integral of the field equation (6) provided that condition (12) is satisfied.

We transform the integral equation (12) into an ordinary differential equation which is easier to work with. Differentiating equation (12) gives

$$2F_x F_{III} + 5F F_{II} = 0 \quad (13)$$

The form of (13) suggests the transformation

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv F_{III}. \quad (14)$$

Substitution of (14) into (13) gives

$$2\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_{xxx} + 5\mathcal{F}_x\mathcal{F}_{xxx} = 0$$

with solution

$$\mathcal{F}_{xxx} = K_1\mathcal{F}^{-5/2}, \quad (15)$$

where K_1 is a constant of integration. Note that $K_1 = 0$ implies $F = 0$ by (15) and (14). We have established that the third order ordinary equation (15) with definition (14) is equivalent to the integrability condition (12). A solution of (15) gives $\mathcal{F}$ and then $F(x)$ is found from (14). Repeated integration of (15) yields the result

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = K_4 + K_3 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right) + K_2 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)^2 - \frac{1}{6} K_1 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)^3, \quad (16)$$

where K_2, K_3, K_4 are constants of integration. As this integration is nontrivial we provide details in the appendix. At this point it is convenient to let

$$u = \int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx$$

so that

$$u_x = (\mathcal{F}^{-1})^{3/2}. \quad (17)$$

Substitution of (16) into (17) gives the result

$$x - x_0 = \int \frac{du}{(K_4 + K_3u + K_2u^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_1u^3)^{3/2}}, \quad (18)$$

where x_0 is constant. Thus we have reduced the differential equation (15) to the quadrature (18) which can be evaluated in terms of elliptic integrals in general (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1994). In summary: *the first integral (11), with F given by (18), represents a class of solutions of (6).*

It would be of interest to identify those cases where we can perform the inversion $u = u(x)$ and explicitly write $F = F(x)$: Once the integral in (18) is evaluated we invert to obtain $u = u(x)$ and then (17) gives $\mathcal{F} = u_x^{-2/3}$. Finally the function $F(x)$ is found from $F = \mathcal{F}_{xxx}$. This F then satisfies the integrability condition (12) for the existence of the first integral (11).

Hence to find solutions $F(x)$ in closed form, satisfying the integrability condition (12), we need to evaluate the integral (18). It is convenient to express our solution to (12) in the parametric form

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \mathcal{F}_{xxx} \\ u_x &= \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} = [g'(u)]^{-1} \\ x - x_0 &= g(u), \end{aligned}$$

where for convenience we have set

$$g(u) = \int \frac{du}{(K_4 + K_3u + K_2u^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_1u^3)^{3/2}}.$$

Three cases arise in the above solution depending on the nature of the factors of the polynomial $K_4 + K_3u + K_2u^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_1u^3$:

Case I: Three repeated factors

If there are three repeated factors then we can write

$$K_4 + K_3u + K_2u^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_1u^3 = (a + bu)^3 \quad b \neq 0.$$

In this case (18) can be integrated immediately in terms of elementary functions and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(u) &= -\frac{2}{7b}(a + bu)^{-7/2} \\ F(x) &= \frac{48}{343} \left(-\frac{7b}{2}\right)^{6/7} (x - x_0)^{-15/7}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in this case it is possible to invert the integral (18) and to write $u = u(x)$. After reparametrisation we can write

$$F(x) = x^{-15/7}$$

which is the same as the case reported by Stephani (1983). We recall that this also leads to the $x^{-20/7}$ solution as noted in section 2.

Case II: Two repeated factors

With two repeated factors we can write

$$K_4 + K_3u + K_2u^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_1u^3 = (a + bu)(u + c)^2 \quad b \neq 0$$

The function $g(u)$ in (18) may be easily integrated for two repeated factors so that

$$\begin{aligned} g(u) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2(a - bc)u^2} + \frac{5b}{4(a - bc)^2u} + \frac{15b^2}{4(a - bc)^3} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{a - bc + bu}} + \\ &\quad \frac{15b^2}{8(a - bc)^3} \int \frac{du}{u\sqrt{a - bc + bu}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the integral on the right side is expressible in terms of elementary functions. (The precise form depends upon the sign of $a - bc$. See Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (1994) 2.246 and 2.248.9.) It is clear from the above form for $g(u)$ that it will not be possible to perform the inversion to obtain $u = u(x)$. (This is possible only when $b = 0$ which is not permitted.) Consequently the solution can only be given parametrically in this case.

Case III: No repeated factors

If there are no repeated factors, we write

$$K_4 + K_3u + K_2u^2 - \frac{1}{6}K_1u^3 = d(a - u)(b - u)(c - u) \quad d \neq 0.$$

In this case the solution of (18) is given in terms of elliptic integrals. We obtain

$$g(u) = d^{-3/2} \frac{2[c(a-c) + b(a-b) - u(2a-c-b)]}{(a-b)(a-c)(b-c)^2 \sqrt{(a-u)(b-u)(c-u)}} +$$

$$d^{-3/2} \frac{2}{(a-b)^2(b-c)^2 \sqrt{(a-c)^3}} \times$$

$$\times \left[(b-c)(a+b-2c)F(\alpha, p) - 2(c^2 + a^2 + b^2 - ab - ac - bc)E(\alpha, p) \right],$$

where we have set

$$\alpha = \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{a-c}{a-u}} \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{a-b}{a-c}}.$$

In the above $F(\alpha, p)$ is the elliptic integral of the first kind and $E(\alpha, p)$ is the elliptic integral of the second kind. By inspection of $g(u)$ we observe that it is not possible to invert and obtain $u = u(x)$. Therefore, as in *Case II*, the solution may be expressed only in parametric form when there are no repeated factors.

We have established that for our class of first integrals the form of F may be given in parametric form in general. It is only in *Case I* that inversion is possible and we can write $F = F(x)$ explicitly. This case corresponds to $F = x^{-15/7}$ which was first identified by Stephani (1983).

It is interesting to observe that the solution of Kustaanheimo and Qvist (1948) is not contained in the first integral (11). To prove this we simply substitute (7a) into (12) and see that (12) is not satisfied. Thus the first integral (11) cannot admit the Kustaanheimo and Qvist (1948) solution with (7a). The first integral (11), with F given by the quadrature (18), represents a new class of spherically symmetric solutions. Most papers have dealt with special cases of (7a) (Kramer *et al* 1980).

Note that the first integral (11) was obtained without specifying the function $F(x)$. However, in our solution $F(x)$ is constrained by the integral equation (12). Thus any $F(x)$ satisfying (12) will yield a first integral of the form (11). The solutions of Srivastava (1987) and Stephani (1983) can be regained as special cases of (11). With the choice $F(x) = (ax+b)^n$ where $n = -15/7$ we find that (12) is satisfied with $K_0 = 0$. Then (11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(t) &\equiv (1/6)\psi_0(t) \\ &= -6L_x - (21/4a)(ax+b)^{-8/7}L^2 - (3/2)(7/a)^2(ax+b)^{-1/7}LL_x \\ &\quad + (1/4)(7/a)^3(ax+b)^{6/7}L_x^2 - (1/6)(7/a)^3(ax+b)^{-9/7}L^3. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The first integral (19) was reported by Srivastava (1987). Now with $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ equation (19) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(t) &\equiv (1/6)\psi_0(t) \\ &= -6L_x - (21/4)x^{-8/7}L^2 - (3/2)7^2x^{-1/7}LL_x + (1/4)7^3x^{6/7}L_x^2 \\ &\quad - (1/6)7^3x^{-9/7}L^3. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The first integral (20), where $F(x)$ is given by (8), was found by Stephani (1983). Note that in (19) and (20) the functions $\phi_0(t)$ and $\varphi_0(t)$ have been introduced to

ease comparison with the corresponding expressions given by Srivastava (1987) and Stephani (1983) respectively. Hence the first integral (11) represents a class of solutions which may be interpreted as a generalisation of the Stephani/Srivastava solution. In fact, the F of the form $(ax + b)^n$ only satisfies (12) if $n = -15/7$ (which can be mapped to the case $n = -20/7$ by (8)). This is a special solution of (12). The general solution, containing the generalisation of Stephani and Srivastava, is given by (18). The case $n = -15/7$ is characterised by the fact that it is the only case for which we can write $F = F(x)$ explicitly; all other solutions may only be given parametrically.

4. Lie Analysis

In section 3 we obtained the first integral (11) of (6) in an *ad hoc* fashion. Here we consider a widely applied technique to reduce (6) to a first order differential equation. A systematic method to determine whether a second order ordinary differential equation can be solved by quadratures is that of Lie (1912). On performing the Lie analysis (Leach 1981, Leach *et al* 1992) we find that (6) is invariant under the infinitesimal transformation

$$\mathbf{G} = A(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + [B(x)L + C(x)]\frac{\partial}{\partial L} \quad (21)$$

provided that

$$F(x) = MA^{-5/2} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \int \frac{dx}{A}\right) \quad (22)$$

$$A_{xxx} = 2MCA^{-5/2} \exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \int \frac{dx}{A}\right) \quad (23)$$

$$B(x) = (A_x - \alpha)/2$$

$$C(x) = C_0 + C_1x,$$

where α, M, C_0 and C_1 are constants. A solution of (23) gives $F(x)$ by (22) which reduces (6) to a first order differential equation. Note that the existence of the symmetry (21) permits a reduction to first order. If two symmetries $\mathbf{G}$ are known, the second order equation (6) may be reduced to quadratures.

For convenience we divide the integration of (23) into a number of cases:

Case I: $\alpha = 0 \quad C = 0$

This is the simplest case. Then (22) yields

$$F(x) = M(ax^2 + bx + c)^{-5/2}$$

which is equivalent to the Kustaanheimo and Qvist (1948) class of solutions.

Case II: $\alpha = 0 \quad C_0 \neq 0 = C_1$

In this case (23) reduces to the differential equation

$$A_{xxx} = K_1 A^{-5/2},$$

where $K_1 = 2MC_0$. This is the same as equation (15) considered in section 3 and the results of that section become applicable. Thus we have established that the first integral (11) is a special class of solutions admitted by the general Lie method.

Case III: $\alpha = 0$ $C_1 \neq 0$

We now consider the case $C_1 \neq 0$. We begin by observing that (23) is simplified by a change of the independent variable x which transforms away the linear term $C(x) = C_0 + C_1 x$. If we introduce the canonical variables ($C_1 \neq 0$)

$$Y = A/C^2, \quad X = -1/C,$$

(23) is transformed to

$$Y''' = M_1 Y^{-5/2} \quad (24)$$

where $M_1 = 2MC_1^{-3}$, and we have used the notation $' := d/dX$. We provide an alternate solution to (24) than that presented in section 3. Equation (24) can be reduced to an equation involving only Y' if we introduce the variable

$$s := \int Y^{-3/2} dX \quad (25)$$

Then (24) and (25) imply

$$YY's' = -M_3 - 2M_2s + \frac{1}{2}M_1s^2,$$

where M_2 and M_3 are constants. On multiplying this equation by s' and integrating we obtain

$$Y^2s'^2 = M_4 + M_3s + M_2s^2 - \frac{1}{6}M_1s^3, \quad (26)$$

where M_4 is constant. Equation (26) is reduced to the quadrature

$$x - x_1 = \int \frac{ds}{(M_4 + M_3s + M_2s^2 - \frac{1}{6}M_1s^3)^{3/2}}, \quad (27)$$

where x_1 is a constant of integration. As mentioned in section 3 integrals of the form (27) can be evaluated in terms of elliptic integrals in general in which case the solution will be given parametrically. However, in some cases after having solved (27) we then invert to obtain $s(X)$ and finally we have $A = C^2Y = C^2(s')^{2/3}$.

Case IV: $\alpha \neq 0$ $C = 0$

It remains to consider the highly complicated case of $\alpha \neq 0$. Apart from the subcase $C = 0$, we have not been able to integrate (23) which is hardly surprising considering its highly nonlinear nature. The special case is $\alpha \neq 0 = C$. This appears to give a generalisation of Kustaanheimo and Qvist since (22) and (23) imply

$$\begin{aligned} A &= ax^2 + bx + c \\ F &= MA^{-5/2} \exp\left(\int \frac{\alpha}{2A} dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Y} &= LA^{-1/2} \exp\left(\int \frac{\alpha}{2A} dx\right) \\ \mathcal{X} &= \int \frac{dx}{A}.\end{aligned}$$

Then (6) is autonomised:

$$\mathcal{Y}'' - \alpha\mathcal{Y}' + \left(\frac{\alpha^2}{4} + ac - \frac{1}{4}b^2\right)\mathcal{Y} + M\mathcal{Y}^2 = 0. \quad (28)$$

Now, if $\Delta \equiv b^2 - 4ac > 0$ and $\alpha = \pm 5\sqrt{\Delta}$, then (28) can be transformed to

$$\frac{d^2\eta}{d\xi^2} + \frac{M}{\Delta}\eta^2 = 0, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= e^{-2\alpha\mathcal{X}/5}\mathcal{Y} \\ \xi &= e^{\alpha\mathcal{X}/5}.\end{aligned}$$

Then (29) is easily integrated to yield

$$\xi - \xi_0 = \int \frac{d\eta}{[C - (2M/3\Delta)\eta^3]^{1/2}}.$$

This seems to be a new solution, but

$$\Delta > 0 \Rightarrow A = ax^2 + bx + c = a(x - \mu)(x - \nu)$$

with $\mu - \nu = \sqrt{\Delta}/a$ ($\neq 0$). Then

$$\int \frac{dx}{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \ln\left(\frac{x - \mu}{x - \nu}\right)$$

implies

$$F = \begin{cases} (Ka^{-5/2})(x - \mu)^{-5} & \alpha = 5\sqrt{\Delta} \\ (Ka^{-5/2})(x - \nu)^{-5} & \alpha = -5\sqrt{\Delta} \end{cases}$$

which remarkably is a special case of Kustaanheimo and Qvist! Thus even with $\alpha \neq 0 = C$ we do not obtain any new solutions.

We note that if instead of assuming that α has the value that enables us to transform (28) to (29) other functions F can be obtained. For example if we put $a = b = 0$ in A ,

$$F(x) = Mc^{-5/2}e^{\alpha x/(2c)}$$

which is equivalent to the Stephani (1983) form

$$F(x) = e^x$$

after reparametrisation. When $A = a(x - P)(x - Q)$,

$$F(x) = Ma^{-5/2} (x - P)^{\frac{\alpha}{2a(P-Q)} - \frac{5}{2}} (x - Q)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2a(P-Q)} - \frac{5}{2}}$$

which reduces to the Stephani (1983) form

$$F(x) = (x + \alpha)^n (x + \beta)^{-n-5}$$

on the substitution $\frac{\alpha}{2a(P-Q)} = n + \frac{5}{2}$. If $A = a(x - P)^2$ then

$$F(x) = Ma^{-5/2} (x - P)^{-5} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2a} \frac{1}{x-P}}$$

which via (8a) is related to $F(x) = e^x$.

Case V: $\alpha \neq 0$ $C_1 \neq 0$

This is the most difficult case to analyse. When $C_1 \neq 0$, we are in a position to express (23) as a simpler autonomous equation after a change of variable. Introduction of the variable

$$\mathcal{A} = \exp \left(\frac{\alpha}{5} \int \frac{dx}{A} \right) \quad (30)$$

transforms (23) to the differential equation

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}_x} \right)_{xxx} = 2(5/\alpha)^{7/2} MC \mathcal{A}_x^{-5/2}. \quad (31)$$

For $C_1 \neq 0$ without any loss of generality we can write (31) as

$$\left(\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{A}_x} \right)_{xxx} = x \mathcal{A}_x^{-5/2}, \quad (32)$$

where we have set $C_0 = 0$ and $2(5/\alpha)^{7/2} C_1 = 1$. Essentially we have rescaled the x variable by $\tilde{x} \rightarrow \beta x + \gamma$. Expansion of the left hand side of (32) results in

$$\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}_{xx}^2 \mathcal{A}_{xxxx} + 2 \mathcal{A}_x^3 \mathcal{A}_{xxx} - 6 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}_x \mathcal{A}_{xx} \mathcal{A}_{xxx} - 3 \mathcal{A}_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{xx}^2 + 6 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}_{xx}^3 + x \mathcal{A}_x^{13/2} = 0. \quad (33)$$

The fourth order equation (33) is reduced to autonomous form if we introduce the new independent variable

$$r = -\frac{1}{x}.$$

With this transformation (33) assumes the autonomous form

$$\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}_{rr}^2 \mathcal{A}_{rrrr} + 2 \mathcal{A}_r^3 \mathcal{A}_{rrr} - 6 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}_r \mathcal{A}_{rr} \mathcal{A}_{rrr} - 3 \mathcal{A}_r^2 \mathcal{A}_{rr}^2 + 6 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}_{rr}^3 + \mathcal{A}_r^{13/2} = 0. \quad (34)$$

The order of (34) is reduced by the substitution

$$\mathcal{A} = e^z, \quad \mathcal{A}_r = 4Z^2 e^{-4z}$$

to

$$ZZ_{zzz} - Z_z Z_{zz} - 5ZZ_{zz} + 5Z_z^2 + Z^3 = 0. \quad (35)$$

Thus we have expressed equation (23) with $\alpha \neq 0$ in the simpler form (35). A solution of (35) gives $\mathcal{A}$ and A is then found from (30).

5. Discussion

We have considered the integrability of the equation $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$. A first integral was found in section 3 that contains the special cases of Stephani (1983) and Srivastava (1987) studied previously. The solution is given parametrically and there are three classes of solution. In one case we can perform the inversion and write $F = F(x)$ explicitly. This corresponds to $F = x^{-15/7}$ obtained by Stephani. In section 4 the Lie analysis of this equation resulted in the equation (23). For $\alpha = 0$ equation (23) has solutions in terms of elliptic integrals. In the more complicated case of $\alpha \neq 0$ we reduced (23) to the simpler autonomous equation (35).

Considering the significance of spherically symmetric models in general relativity the equation $L_{xx} = F(x)L^2$ deserves further attention. Another approach in the investigation of the integrability of this equation is the Painlevé analysis. In the Painlevé analysis one performs a singularity analysis of a differential equation. If the only movable singularities are poles, the equation is said to possess the Painlevé property and it is conjectured that such equations can be solved analytically (Ramani *et al* 1989).

Omitting the details we illustrate this approach with an example. With $F(x) = x^n$ Stephani (1983) obtained an ansatz that reduced

$$L_{xx} = x^n L^2 \tag{36}$$

to a first order equation. We performed the Painlevé analysis on equation (36) after transforming it to autonomous form by the transformation which converts the appropriate form of $\mathbf{G}$ in (21) to $\partial/\partial X$. The autonomous form of (36) is

$$Y'' - (2n + 5)Y' + (n + 2)(n + 3)Y - Y^2 = 0 \tag{37}$$

and satisfies the Painlevé property for the cases $n = -5/2, 0, -5, -15/7$ and $-20/7$. These are precisely the cases of known solutions, i.e. Kustannheimo and Qvist (1948) ($n = -5/2, 0, -5$) and Stephani ($n = -15/7, -20/7$).

Appendix

In this appendix we indicate how the third order equation (15) may be integrated to yield the solution (16). The nonlinear equation

$$\mathcal{F}_{xxx} = K_1 \mathcal{F}^{-5/2}$$

may be written as

$$(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_{xx})_x - \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{F}_x^2)_x = K_1 \mathcal{F}^{-3/2}$$

This gives

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_x^2 = -2K_2 + K_1 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)$$

where $-2K_2$ is a constant. This second order equation may be written in the form

$$2 \left(\mathcal{F}^{1/2} \right)_{xx} = -2K_2 \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} + K_1 \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)$$

On integration we obtain

$$2 \left(\mathcal{F}^{1/2} \right)_x = -K_3 - 2K_2 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right) + \frac{1}{2} K_1 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)^2$$

where $-K_3$ is a constant. It is convenient to rewrite this first order equation in the form

$$-\mathcal{F}^{-2} \mathcal{F}_x = K_3 \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} + 2K_2 \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right) - \frac{1}{2} K_1 \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)^2$$

A final integration generates the solution

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = K_4 + K_3 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right) + K_2 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)^2 - \frac{1}{6} K_1 \left(\int \mathcal{F}^{-3/2} dx \right)^3$$

where K_4 is a constant.

Acknowledgements: SDM thanks Dave Matravers and the University of Portsmouth for hospitality and support where this work was completed. RM and SDM thank the FRD for a research grant.

References

- [1] Chakravarty N, Dutta Choudhury S B and Banerjee A 1976 *Austral. J. Phys.* **29** 113
- [2] de Oliveira A K G, Santos N O and Kolassis C A 1985 *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **216** 1001
- [3] Dyer C C, McVittie G C and Oates L M 1987 *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **19** 887
- [4] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 1994 *Table of Integrals, Series and Products* (New York: Academic Press)
- [5] Herrera L and Ponce de Leon J 1985 *J. Math. Phys.* **26** 778, 2018, 2847
- [6] Kramer D, Stephani H, MacCallum M A H and Herlt E 1980 *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [7] Krasinski A 1989 *J. Math. Phys.* **30** 438
- [8] Kustaanheimo P and Qvist B 1948 *Soc. Sci. Fennica, Commentationes Physico-Mathematicae* **XIII** 16
- [9] Leach P G L 1981 *J. Math. Phys.* **22** 465
- [10] Leach P G L, Maartens R and Maharaj S D 1992 *Int. J. Nonlin. Mech.* **27** 575
- [11] Lie S 1912 *Vorlesungen über Differentialgleichungen* (Leipzig und Berlin: Teubner)
- [12] Maartens R and Maharaj M S 1990 *J. Math. Phys.* **31**
- [13] Maharaj S D, Leach P G L and Maartens R 1991 *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **23** 261
- [14] McVittie G C 1933 *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **93** 325
- [15] McVittie G C 1967 *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **6** 1
- [16] McVittie G C 1984 *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **40** 325
- [17] Ramani A, Grammaticos B and Bountis T 1989 *Phys. Rep.* **180** 159
- [18] Santos N O 1985 *Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc.* **216** 403
- [19] Srivastava D C 1987 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **4** 1093
- [20] Stephani H 1983 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16** 3529
- [21] Sussman R A 1989 *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **12** 1281
- [22] Wyman M 1976 *Can. Math. Bull.* **19** 343